

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,536	01/26/2001	Mitsunobu Teramoto	P 276641 TGS-93-1	4903
	590 03/26/2003			
POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC 11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE SUITE 10 RESTON, VA 20190			EXAMINER	
			VO, HAI	
RESTON, VA	20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/769,536				
		Examin r	TERAMOTO ET AL.			
		Hai Vo	Art Unit			
D	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	1771			
1	• •					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	7 January 2003				
2a)⊠	This setime to Esses	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal and the same in						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
ir approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121						
	)					
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trade		Other:				

1) 2) 3) Application/Control Number: 09/769,536

Art Unit: 1771

į.

1. Claims 2-4 have been cancelled in the amendment received on 11/17/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori (JP 11-348576) in view of Peterson et al (US 6,329,439), Okada et al (US 5,728,744) and Kato et al (US 5,992928) as set forth in Paper no. 5.

## Response to Arguments

4. The art rejections have been maintained for following reasons. The motivation to combine Mori, Peterson and Okada with Kato is sufficient and proper. The weather strip of Mori, Peterson and Okada as modified by Kato would teach or suggest a molded part being composed of a non-foamed TPO having a hardness of Hs 40° to 50°. Kato discloses a TPO molding portion of a weather strip wherein the preferred hardness for the molding portion is within the range 20 to 80 (column 2, lines 55-59). Kato also discloses that hardness values in this range produce good moldability, good strength and good absorption of expansion and contraction forces (table 1, column 5, lines 32-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisian at the time the invention was made to use a die-molding portion with a hardness within Applicant's claimed range, motivated by the desire to produce a weather strip

ŧ.

with good moldability, good strength and good absorption of expansion and contraction forces.

## Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,536

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV March 23, 2003

PLIZABETH M. COLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER